

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/699,617	KAHKOSKA ET AL.	

All Participants: _____ **Status of Application:** _____

(1) Paresh Patel. (3) _____

(2) Mr. Walters. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 30 March 2007 **Time:** _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

1, 7, 13, 19 and 22

Prior art documents discussed:

US Pat. 5,812,557; US Pat. 5,706,348; and US Pat. 6,834,040

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Amendment to claim 1 to include subject matter of claim 7 and amendment to claim 13 to include subject matter of claim 19 were discussed. Mr. Walters approved the suggestion in view of possible allowance. Amendment to claim 22 discussed to overcome 35 USC 112 issue(s). The above reference were the closest prior art found...